

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/057,918	01/29/2002	Yuan-Cheng Chin	CHIN3014/EM	3719
23364	7590 03/09/2004		EXAM	INER
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			ANYASO, UCHENDU O	
	625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2675	4
	DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004		4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/057,918	CHIN, YUAN-CHENG			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Uchendu O Anyaso	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - Failu Any earn  Status  1)  2a)  3)	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 December 1.10 period on 30 Dec	as(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time of whithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE of date of this communication, even if timely filed excember 2003.  action is non-final.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). I, may reduce any			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9)[] 10)[]	ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2)  Notic 3)  Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/057,918

Art Unit: 2675

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-19 are pending in this action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Davis et al* (U.S. Patent Appl. 2003/0034959).

Regarding **claim 1, 10 and 19,** Davis teaches an <u>optical mouse 10</u> (page 2, para 0016) comprising a <u>sensor chip 16</u> (figure 1 at 10, 16).

Furthermore, Davis teaches the <u>sensor chip body 16</u> having pins securely provided inside the space and extending from the body of the sensor chip 16 (see figure 2 at 16).

Furthermore, Davis teaches an <u>LED 34</u> and <u>LED driver 80</u> that are embedded within the sensor chip 16 (figure 5 at 80, 90M).

However, Davis does not teach how a sensor by teaching a <u>photodetector array 84</u> wherein prism 38D directs light from LED 34 onto surface 50 such that the light directed onto the surface 50C is reflected to lens 38C, which directs the reflected light onto photodetector array 84 (page 3, para 0033, figure 4 at 34, 38D, 50, 84).

Application/Control Number: 10/057,918

Art Unit: 2675

Regarding claims 2 and 11, in further discussion of claims 1 and 10, Davis teaches a control element 72 that is electrically connected to the USB port (figure 4 at 72, D-, D+).

Regarding claims 3 and 12, in further discussion of claims 2 and 11, Davis teaches how a control and I/O processor 72 that is a control IC (figure 4 at 72).

Regarding **claims 4** and **13**, in further discussion of claims 1 and 10, Davis teaches an image processor 82 having a photodetector array 84 within the sensor chip body 16 (figure 4 at 16, 82, 84).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 14 and 15, in further discussion of claim 4, 10 and 13, Davis teaches a photodetector array 84 that is composed of light guide elements and is adjacent to LED 34, prism 38D and lens 38C (figure 4 at 34, 38D, 84).

Regarding claims 7, 9 and 18, in further discussion of claim 2 and 11, Davis teaches how the LED 34, sensor 16, and PCB 36 are a chip-on-board type (figure 2 at 16, 34, 36).

Regarding claims 8 and 17, in further discussion of claim 1 and 10, Davis teaches the body of the device is attached to he PCB 36 through hole 36A (figure 2 at 36A, 16).

Application/Control Number: 10/057,918 Page 4

Art Unit: 2675

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed December 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant amended independent claims 1, 10 and 19 to include the feature of at least one contact tine extending from a body having a predetermined space defined inside the body within an optical mouse. Applicant then contends that the Davis and Kwang-Chien references fail to teach or suggest an optical mouse having an LED and sensor integrated into a module made up of a single "body" with at least one contact tine extending therefrom, the LED and sensor being "received" or "mounted" in a predetermined space "defined in the body". Examiner disagrees with these applicant's assertions.

First, in view of applicant's amendments, the Davis reference is used solely to reject all of applicant's claims because Davis contains each and every element of the claims as amended. Specifically, Davis teaches in figure 2 a sensor chip body 16 having pins securely provided inside the space and extending from the body of the sensor chip 16 (see figure 2 at 16). Within, this sensor chip 16, Davis teaches how his optical mouse comprises an LED 34 and a sensor in the form of a photodetector array 84 integrated into a module made up of a single "body"16 with at least one contact tine extending therefrom (see figure 2), the LED 34 and sensor 84 being "received" or "mounted" in a predetermined space "defined in the body" 84 (see figures 2 & 4).

As such, applicant's arguments with regard to the sensor and LED being separate units are not persuasive because Davis shows how such features are both embedded within the sensor chip 16 (see figure 4 at 34 & 84).

Application/Control Number: 10/057,918 Page 5

Art Unit: 2675

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uchendu O. Anyaso whose telephone number is (703) 306-5934. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Application/Control Number: 10/057,918

Art Unit: 2675

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Uchendu O. Anyaso

03/05/2004

CHANH NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6